

Traffic Calming: State of the Practice is an Informational Report of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). The information in this document has been obtained from the research and experiences of transportation engineering and planning professionals. The report was prepared by ITE on behalf of FHWA for informational purposes only and does not include recommendations on the best course of action or the preferred application of the data.

ITE is an international educational and scientific association of transportation and traffic engineers and other professionals who are responsible for meeting mobility and safety needs. The Institute facilitates the application of technology and scientific principles to research, planning, functional design, implementation, operation, policy development, and management for any mode of transportation by promoting professional development of members, supporting and encouraging education, stimulating research, developing public awareness, and exchanging professional information; and by maintaining a central point of reference and action.

Founded in 1930, the Institute serves as a gateway to knowledge and advancement through meetings, seminars, and publications; and through our network of more than 15,000 members working in some 80 countries. The Institute also has more than 70 local and regional chapters and more than 90 student chapters that provide additional opportunities for information exchange, participation, and networking.

Sponsored by:



U.S. Department
of Transportation
Federal Highway
Administration

Office of Safety Research and Development
Federal Highway Administration
6300 Georgetown Pike
McLean, VA 22102-2296

Office of Human Environment
Federal Highway Administration
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20590

Notice

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or the use thereof. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. The United States Government and ITE do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade and manufacturers' names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the object of the document.

FHWA-RD-99-135



Institute of Transportation Engineers
525 School Street, S.W., Suite 410
Washington, DC 20024-2797 USA
Telephone: +1 (202) 554-8050
Fax: +1 (202) 863-5486
ITE on the Web: <http://www.ite.org>

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Ewing, Reid H.

Traffic calming: state of the practice / Reid Ewing.

p. cm. --(publication: no. IR-098)

"Prepared for the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Safety Research and Development and Office of Human Environment; prepared by Institute of Transportation Engineers."

"August, 1999."

"FHWA-RD-99-135"—Copr. p.

ISBN 0-935403-36-1

1. Traffic safety—United States—Case studies. 2. Traffic engineering—United States—Case studies. 3. Speed limits—United States—Case studies. I. Institute of Transportation Engineers. II. Title. III. Series: Publication (Institute of Transportation Engineers): IR-098.

HE5614.2.E97 1999

363.12'57—dc21

ISBN 0-935403-36-1

© 1999 Institute of Transportation Engineers. All rights reserved.

Publication No. IR-098

1,300/HHA-NDI-AGS/899

Printed in the United States of America.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	v
Featured Traffic Calming Programs	vii
Abbreviations	viii
Metric Conversions	viii
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
Related Studies	2
What Traffic Calming Is and Is Not	2
Multiple Purposes of Traffic Calming	3
Overview of Current Practice	6
Featured Programs	8
Chapter 2. Brief History of Traffic Calming	10
International Origins of Traffic Calming	10
U.S. Beginnings	14
Chapter 3. Toolbox of Traffic Calming Measures	17
A “Simple” Matter of Choosing the Right Tools	17
Effective and Ineffective Measures—San Diego, CA, Case Studies	17
Measures Defined and Illustrated	19
Cost of Traffic Calming Measures	58
Important Trends	58
Chapter 4. Engineering and Aesthetic Issues	66
Design Principles	66
Geometric Design Dimensions	70
Use of Temporary Measures	82
Use of Landscaping	83
Signing and Marking	85
Chapter 5. Traffic Calming Impacts	99
Traffic Speeds	100
Traffic Volumes	105
Collisions	109
Crime	112
Other Quality of Life Impacts	114
Impacts of Education and Enforcement	116
Impacts of Regulatory Measures	119
Impacts of Psycho-Perception Controls	121
Chapter 6. Legal Authority and Liability	127
Chilling Effect—Gainesville, FL, Case Study	127
Minimizing Liability	127
Case Law—Legal Authority	131

Case Law—Tort Liability	132
Case Law—Loss of Access	133
Case Law—Failure to Act	134
Damage Claims	135
Chapter 7. Emergency Response and Other Agency Concerns	138
Varying Experiences	138
Conflict and Resolution—Portland Case Study	138
Emergency Response Times	140
Strategies for Addressing Fire-Rescue Concerns	143
Other Public Agencies	150
Chapter 8. Warrants, Project Selection Procedures, and Public Involvement	154
Basic Program Options	155
Warrants and Guidelines	157
Project Priority Rating Systems	161
Public Involvement	164
Chapter 9. Beyond Residential Traffic Calming	170
European Experience	170
U.S. Experience	175
Alternatives for High-Volume Streets	178
Chapter 10. Traffic Calming in New Developments	182
Regulations of Featured Communities	183
Street Network Design—The Florida Principles	184
Subdivision Street Standards—The WILMAPCO Alternative	189
Selected References	199
Appendix A: Speed and Volume Data Before and After Traffic Calming	207
Appendix B: 85th Percentile Speeds as Function of Hump Size and Spacing	226
Appendix C: Average Annual Accidents Before and After Traffic Calming	228
Appendix D: Effectiveness of Education/Enforcement	234
Appendix E: Effectiveness of Regulatory Measures	235
Appendix F: Effectiveness of Psycho-Perception Controls	236
Index	237

Acknowledgments

FHWA and ITE wish to particularly thank the traffic managers of featured communities, who spent hours in interviews, guided the author around to photograph traffic calming measures, and supplied program documents by the dozens. Many of the tours coincidentally fell on weekends or holidays, which made their time commitment all the more remarkable. With their permission, names and telephone numbers are listed on page vii, and several of them are shown in their element below. These managers of innovative programs are literally inventing the practice of traffic calming in the United States, from which the rest of us can learn.

Also, appreciation is offered to the ITE members who reviewed the draft report and offered comments and suggestions. The final product is more readable, balanced, and technically sound as a result of their input. The ITE Traffic Calming Advisory Committee consisted of David G. Gerard, Chair; Crysttal Atkins; John D. Edwards; John J. Kennedy; and Susan McMillian. Other ITE reviewers included Gian C. Aggarwal; Brian S. Bochner; W. Martin Bretherton, Jr.; Anthony J. Castellone; Angela M. Christo; Edward Cline; Steven B. Colman; Rafael Cruz; Raymond Davis; Frederick C. Dock; Kay Fitzpatrick; Ian

M. Lockwood; Ellis K. McCoy; Patricia Noyes; Dale Picha; Thomas A. Sohrweide; Howard S. Stein; and John Van Winkle.

Regarding authorship, Charles Kooshian, then a graduate student at Florida International University, wrote portions of chapters 2 (“Brief History of Traffic Calming”) and 9 (“Beyond Residential Traffic Calming”). Mark White, an attorney with the firm of Freilich, Leitner & Carlisle, contributed to legal analyses in chapter 6 (“Legal Authority and Liability”).

ITE also wishes to acknowledge Kevin Hooper, who served as the Technical Editor for this publication. As such, his responsibilities were to refine the draft document prepared by the author as follows: identify the need for and provide as necessary clarification of technical text; remove language which to some readers could constitute recommendations for a specific practice methodology or approach; and reorganize several chapters and text.

Also noted for project management, technical and editorial review, and coordination throughout the development of this publication are ITE staff members Lisa M. Fontana, Shannon Gore Peters, and Mark R. Norman.



Crysttal Atkins



W. Martin Bretherton, Jr.




Charles E. DeLeuw, Jr.



Randy A. Dittberner



Karen L. Gonzalez



Ian Lockwood



David A. Loughery



Jay Millikin

Featured Traffic Calming Programs

Community	Traffic Manager	Telephone	Web Site
Austin, TX	David Gerard Samileh Mozafari	512-499-7129	www.ci.austin.tx.us/roadworks
Bellevue, WA	Karen L. Gonzalez	425-452-4598	www.ci.bellevue.wa.us/bellevue
Berkeley, CA	Charles E. DeLeuw, Jr.	510-665-3400	www.ci.berkeley.ca.us/PW/Traffic/traffic.html
Boulder, CO	Noreen Walsh	303-441-3266	www.publicworks.ci.boulder.co.us/DEPTS/TRANS/NTMP/ntmp_information.htm
Charlotte, NC	R. Douglas Gillis	704-336-3926	www.charmeck.nc.us/citranportation/programs/trafcalm.html
Dayton, OH	Kerry Lawson	937-443-4075	—
Eugene, OR	Gary McNeel Jay Millikin	541-682-8451 541-682-5082	under development
Ft. Lauderdale, FL	Peter Partington	954-761-5761	—
Gainesville, FL	Brian Kanely Philip Mann	352-334-5074	—
Gwinnett County, GA	W. Martin Bretherton, Jr. Vince Edwards	770-822-7412	under development
Howard County, MD	Buck Bohmer	410-313-2430	www.co.ho.md.us/spdcntrl.htm
Montgomery County, MD	David A. Loughery	301-217-2190	www.dpwt.com/TraffPkgDiv/triage.htm
Phoenix, AZ	Randy A. Dittberner	602-534-9529	—
Portland, OR	Ellis McCoy Crystal Atkins	503-823-5214	www.trans.ci.portland.or.us/Traffic_Management/trafficalming
San Diego, CA	Allen Holden, Jr.	619-533-3012	—
San Jose, CA	James Helmer Lawrence Moore	408-277-4304	—
Sarasota, FL	Ellen Cranos	941-954-4180	www.ci.sarasota.fl.us/eng.nsf/pages/calming+home
Seattle, WA	Jim Mundell	206-684-0814	www.ci.seattle.wa.us/td
Tallahassee, FL	Monica Heller	850-891-8261	—
West Palm Beach, FL	Ian Lockwood	561-659-8031	—

Abbreviations

This document reports the state of traffic calming programs in the United States. It also includes historical information about programs in other countries. Although speed and distance measurements are provided primarily in U.S. customary units, some metric measurements appear. The following abbreviations are used in the text.

dB(A) = decibel (ampere)
ft = feet
g = acceleration of gravity
hr = hour
in = inch
kph = kilometers per hour
mph = miles per hour
sec = second
vmt = vehicle-miles of travel
vpd = vehicles per day
vph = vehicles per hour

Metric Conversions

The following common factors represent the appropriate magnitude of conversion. This is because the quantities given in U.S. customary units in the text, tables, or figures, represent a precision level that in practice typically does not exceed two significant figures. In making conversions, it is important to not falsely imply a greater accuracy in the product than existed in the original dimension or quantity. However, certain applications such as surveying, structures, curve offset calculations, and so forth, may require great precision. Conversions for such purposes are given in parentheses.

Length

1 inch = 25 millimeters (25.4)
1 inch = 2.5 centimeters (2.54)
1 foot = 0.3 meters (0.3048)
1 yard = 0.91 meters (0.914)
1 mile = 1.6 kilometers (1.609)

Speed

1 foot/sec = 0.3 meters/second (0.3048)
1 mile/hour = 1.6 kilometers/hour (1.609)

For other units refer to the American Society for Testing and Materials, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, USA; *Standard for Metric Practice E380*.